UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,642	09/25/2003	Greg Opheim	30203/38289	6807
	7590 05/10/201 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH W	ACKER DRIVE	VERDI, KIMBLEANN C		
6300 WILLIS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/670,642	OPHEIM, GREG	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	KimbleAnn Verdi	2194					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11)		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Hyung S. Sough/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2194 05/07/10							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendment to claims 1, 9, 14, and 19 require the examiner to perform an additional search and examination. Amendment to claims 1,9,14, and 19 contains new issue which further limits the scope of the claim. A device description identification identifying a device description associated with the particular one of the plurality of process control devices, wherein the device description identification includes at least one of a device identifier, a manufacturer ID, or a device revision for identifying the device description associated with the particular one of the plurality of process control devices, and wherein the device description comprises data and operating procedures for the particular one of the plurality of process control devices, including at least one of variables, methods, commands, menus or display formats associated with one or more features of the particular one of the plurality of process control devices and updating the host application to include the data and operating procedures for the particular one of the plurality of process control devices, described in the device description..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as stated in the Final Office action dated 2/18/2010, page 2, item 2 through page 4, item 7, Krivoshein as modified by Zintel teaches requesting a device description identification from a process control device, receiving the device description identification at a host device from the process control device, downloading a device description associated with the device description at the host system, and updating a host application with the device description.

In addition, as stated in the Final Office action dated 2/18/2010, page 6, item 10 trhough page 8, Krivoshein as modified by Zintel teaches a computer system comprising, a communication module operable to request a device description identification associated with one of a plurality of process control devices from the process control device, a storage module operable to receive a device description identification from the process control device, a search module operable to search for a device description data base storing the device description identified by the device description identification, a download module operable to download a device description for the device description database, and an updating module operable to update a process application with data the device description.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Krivoshein to incorporate the features of Zintel. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the combination because this permits a computing device when introduced into a network to automatically configure so as to connect and interact with other computing devices available on the network, without a user installation experience and without downloading driver software or without persisting a configuration setup for connecting and interacting with such other computing devices (paragraph [0009], lines 7-12 of Zintel), page 4, item 7, Final Office action dated 2/18/2010.

.